

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/892,225	92,225 06/25/2001		Shunpei Yamazaki	07977/279001/US5023/5025 1969	
26171	7590	02:09/2005		EXAMINER	
FISH & RIC 1425 K STR			SONG, MATTHEW J		
11TH FLOO		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGT	ON, DC	20005-3500	1765		

DATE MAILED: 02/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/892,225	YAMAZAKI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Matthew J Song	1765
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply bely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 № This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under №	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters,	
Disposition of Claims		
4)	ewn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to by the Examination is objected to by the Examination is objected to by the Examination is objected.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applic prity documents have been rece au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation Noeived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summ	ary (PTO.413)
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-692) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mai	

Art Unit: 1765

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

2. Claim 15-16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noguchi et al (JP 04-168769), where an English Abstract has been provided.

In a method of solid growth, Noguchi et al teaches a first amorphous layer 2 made of SiGe or Ge is formed on a substrate 1 and a second amorphous silicon layer 3 is formed on the layer 2. Noguchi et al also teaches the amorphous layers are heat treated to form polycrystalline SiGe and Si by solid growth. Noguchi et al also teaches the solid growth temperature is lowered because of the sequentially laminated starting material (Abstract). The germanium in layer 2 reads on applicants' element capable of promoting crystallization of silicon.

Art Unit: 1765

Noguchi et al does not teach the concentration of germanium is within a range of 0.1 atoms% to 10 atom%. Concentration is well known in the art to be a result effective variable and Noguchi et al teaches the concentration of Germanium is a result effective variable, as evidenced in Figure 2. A lower germanium concentration would be desirable to limit the amount of impurities, which can diffuse through the device during high temperature processes. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Noguchi et al by optimizing the concentration of germanium to obtain the claimed ranged by conducting routine experimentation of a result effective variable. Furthermore, where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation. (In re Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235(CCPA 1955)).

Referring to claim 16, Germanium is larger than silicon and capable of promoting crystallization.

3. Claims 5-7, 15-17, 23, 29, and 35-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimizu (US 5,753,541) in view of Noguchi et al (JP 04-168769), where an English Abstract has been provided.

Shimizu discloses a method of fabricating a polycrystalline silicon-germanium thin film transistor (TFT), note entire reference, on an insulating substrate, comprising forming an amorphous silicon layer, an amorphous germanium layer and converting the amorphous silicon layer and the amorphous germanium layer into polycrystalline layers (col 3, ln 1-25). Shimizu also discloses the amorphous silicon and germanium layers are formed by plasma CVD (col 3, ln

26-40 and Example 2). Shimizu also discloses both of the amorphous layers are converted into polycrystalline layer by annealing using an ultraviolet laser light, such as an excimer laser (col 3, In 41-67 and Example 3). Shimzu also discloses a source electrode 2 and a drain electrode 3 and an amorphous silicon film used as an ohmic contact layer 4, this reads on applicant's insulating film covering an electrode, and thereafter forming an amorphous silicon and amorphous germanium layer, which are crystallized by laser light (col 5, ln 1-67). Shimzu also teaches the application of heat or light to promote recrystallization of amorphous germanium will result in progress of recrystallization of the amorphous silicon layer at a lower temperature than that by conventional methods (col 3, ln 64 to col 4, ln 20).

Shimizu teaches using a layer of germanium to lower the recrystallization temperature of an amorphous silicon layer (col 3, ln 64 to col 4, ln 20). Shimizu does not teach the first layer of germanium comprises silicon and germanium.

In a method of solid growth, Noguchi et al teaches a first amorphous layer 2 made of SiGe or Ge is formed on a substrate 1 and a second amorphous silicon layer 3 is formed on the layer 2. Noguchi et al also teaches the solid growth temperature is lowered because of the sequentially laminated starting material (Abstract). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Shimizu by using the sequentially laminated amorphous SiGe and amorphous Si layers taught by Noguchi et al to lower the solid growth temperature of the amorphous Si layer, which is desirable, as taught by Shimizu.

The combination of Shimizu and Noguchi et al teach all of the limitations of claim 5, as discussed previously, except the concentration of germanium is within a range of 0.1 atoms% to 10 atom%. Concentration is well known in the art to be a result effective variable and Noguchi et

al teaches the concentration of Germanium is a result effective variable, as evidenced in Figure 2. A lower germanium concentration would be desirable to limit the amount of impurities, which can diffuse through the device during high temperature processes. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of Shimizu and Noguchi et al by optimizing the concentration of germanium to obtain the claimed ranged by conducting routine experimentation of a result effective variable. Furthermore, where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation. (In re Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235(CCPA 1955)).

4. Claims 5-7, 15-17, 23, 29, and 35-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noguchi et al (JP 04-168769), where an English Abstract has been provided, in view of Shimizu (US 5,753,541).

In a method of solid growth, Noguchi et al teaches an amorphous layer 2 made of SiGe or Ge is formed on a substrate 1 and an amorphous silicon layer 3 is formed on the layer 2. Noguchi et al also teaches the solid growth temperature is lowered because of the sequentially laminated starting material. Noguchi et al also teaches amorphous layer is crystallized by heat treating for a predetermined time to form polycrystalline layers 21, 31 (Abstract).

Noguchi et al teaches using a heat treatment to crystallize the amorphous SiGe and amorphous Si layer to polycrystalline layers. Noguchi et al does not teach crystallizing the amorphous fils by irradiated with an excimer laser light.

In a method of fabricating a polycrystalline silicon-germanium thin film transistor (TFT), note entire reference, Shimizu teaches forming an amorphous silicon layer, an amorphous germanium layer and converting the amorphous silicon layer and the amorphous germanium layer into polycrystalline layers (col 3, ln 1-25). Shimizu also discloses the amorphous silicon and germanium layers are formed by plasma CVD (col 3, ln 26-40 and Example 2). Shimizu also discloses both of the amorphous layers are converted into polycrystalline layer by annealing using an ultraviolet laser light, such as an excimer laser (col 3, ln 41-67 and Example 3). Shimzu also discloses a source electrode 2 and a drain electrode 3 and an amorphous silicon film used as an ohmic contact layer 4, this reads on applicant's insulating film covering an electrode, and thereafter forming an amorphous silicon and amorphous germanium layer, which are crystallized by laser light (col 5, ln 1-67). Shimzu also teaches the application of heat or light to promote recrystallization of amorphous germanium will result in progress of recrystallization of the amorphous silicon layer at a lower temperature than that by conventional methods and laser annealing can be replaced with heating to a temperature greater than 600°C (col 3, ln 64 to col 4, In 20 and col 6, ln 20-35), this is a teaching that the application of heat or light are equivalent methods of recrystallization of amorphous SiGe and Si layers.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Noguchi et al's heat treatment by using an excimer laser annealing, as taught by Shimzu, because substitution of known equivalents for the same purpose is held to be obvious (MPEP 2144.06).

The combination of Noguchi et al and Shimizu teach all of the limitations of claim 5, as discussed previously, except the concentration of germanium is within a range of 0.1 atoms% to

10 atom%. Concentration is well known in the art to be a result effective variable and Noguchi et al teaches the concentration of Germanium is a result effective variable, as evidenced in Figure 2. A lower germanium concentration would be desirable to limit the amount of impurities, which can diffuse through the device during high temperature processes. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of Noguchi et al and Shimizu by optimizing the concentration of germanium to obtain the claimed ranged by conducting routine experimentation of a result effective variable. Furthermore, where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation. (In re Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235(CCPA 1955)).

5. Claims 19, 31, 43, and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimizu (US 5,753,541) in view of Noguchi et al (JP 04-168769), where an English Abstract has been provided, or Noguchi et al (JP 04-168769), where an English Abstract has been provided, in view of Shimizu (US 5,753,541) as applied to claims 15-16 above, and further in view of Zhang et al (US 5,578,520).

The combination of Shimizu and Noguchi or the combination of Noguchi et al and Shimizu teaches all of the limitations of claim 19, as discussed previously in claim 15. The combination of Shimizu and Noguchi or the combination of Noguchi et al and Shimizu is silent to a CVD apparatus with a turbo molecular pump used in an exhaust means connected to a reaction chamber.

In a plasma CVD apparatus for depositing amorphous silicon, Zhang et al teaches a CVD apparatus 2, where a vacuum evacuation apparatus comprising a turbo molecular pump and a rotary pump connected in series, so that impurity concentration inside the chamber may be maintained as low as possible (Fig 2 and col 6, ln 1-67). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of Shimizu and Noguchi or the combination of Noguchi et al and Shimizu with Zhang et al to maintain the impurity concentration in the chamber as low as possible.

Also, Applicant is reminded apparatus limitations, unless they affect the process in a manipulative sense, may have little weight in process claims (In re Tarczy-Hornoch 158 USPQ 141).

6. Claims 18, 30, 42, and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimizu (US 5,753,541) in view of Noguchi et al (JP 04-168769), where an English Abstract has been provided, or Noguchi et al (JP 04-168769), where an English Abstract has been provided, in view of Shimizu (US 5,753,541), as applied to claims 15-16 above, and further in view of Maekawa (US 6,066,547).

The combination of Shimizu and Noguchi or the combination of Noguchi et al and Shimizu teaches all of the limitations of claim 18, as discussed previously. The combination of Shimizu and Noguchi or the combination of Noguchi et al and Shimizu is silent to irradiating with a light from one selected from the group consisting of a halogen lamp, a xenon lamp, a mercury lamp, a metal halide lamp as a light source.

In a method of forming a Thin film transistor, note entire reference, Maekawa teaches a transparent substrate of glass or quartz, a step 90 for providing an amorphous film, where silicon, germanium or silicon-germanium alloys are typical amorphous films, for forming a thin film transistor, a step 92 for depositing a layer of an amorphous film, a step 94 for introducing a transition metal to induce rapid crystallization of the amorphous film and a step 96 for rapid thermal annealing to convert the amorphous film into a polycrystalline film (Fig 20 and col 11, ln 1-67). Maekawa also teaches the rapid thermal annealing step includes annealing with a tungsten-halogen lamp, Xe arc lamp and an excimer laser (col 12, ln 1-50). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of Shimizu and Noguchi or the combination of Noguchi et al and Shimizu with Maekawa because substitution of known equivalents for the same purpose is held to be obvious (MPEP 2144.06).

Double Patenting

7. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

8. Claims 5-7, 15-16, 19, 31, and 35-41 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 7, 50-51, 59-60, 66 of U.S. Patent No. 6,482,684. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the difference between the claims of the instant application and US 6,482,684 is the instant claims first amorphous layer comprising germanium and a second amorphous semiconductor layer, where US 6,482,684 claims an amorphous semiconductor film and forming a film comprising germanium, which is inherently amorphous because the film is formed on an amorphous film using conventional deposition techniques, i.e. plasma CVD.

US 6,482,684 also does not claim a silicon and germanium containing film wherein a concentration of germanium is within a range of 0.1 atom% to 10 atom% or the silicon layer is formed on a Silicon Germanium layer.

In a method of solid growth, Noguchi et al teaches a first amorphous layer 2 made of SiGe or Ge is formed on a substrate 1 and a second amorphous silicon layer 3 is formed on the layer 2. Noguchi et al also teaches the solid growth temperature is lowered because of the sequentially laminated starting material (Abstract). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify US 6,482,684 by using the sequentially laminated amorphous SiGe and amorphous Si layers taught by Noguchi et al to lower the solid growth temperature of the amorphous Si layer, which is desirable.

The combination of US 6,482,684 and Noguchi et al teaches all of the limitations of claim 5, as discussed previously, except the concentration of germanium is within a range of 0.1 atom% to 10 atom%. Concentration is well known in the art to be a result effective variable and

Art Unit: 1765

Noguchi et al teaches the concentration of Germanium is a result effective variable, as evidenced in Figure 2. A lower germanium concentration would be desirable to limit the amount of impurities, which can diffuse through the device during high temperature processes. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of US 6,482,684 and Noguchi et al by optimizing the concentration of germanium to obtain the claimed ranged by conducting routine experimentation of a result effective variable.

Referring to claims 19 and 31, Applicant is reminded apparatus limitations, unless they affect the process in a manipulative sense, may have little weight in process claims (In re Tarczy-Hornoch 158 USPQ 141).

Response to Arguments

- 9. Applicant's arguments, see page 10 of the remarks, filed 11/22/2004, with respect to the 112 First Paragraph rejection have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 1, 6, 15 and 16 has been withdrawn.
- 10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 5-7, 15-19, 23, 29-31 and 35-46 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 11. Applicant's arguments filed 11/22/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 1765

Applicants' argument that order of the amorphous SiGe and amorphous Si layers produces unexpected results is noted but is not found persuasive. The layering of amorphous Si on an amorphous SiGe or Ge layer to lower the solid growth temperature of the amorphous Si layer is taught by Noguchi et al. The fact that applicant has recognized another advantage which would flow naturally from following the suggestion of the prior art cannot be the basis for patentability when the differences would otherwise be obvious. See *Ex parte Obiaya*, 227 USPQ 58, 60 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1985). The prior art discloses using a layering of amorphous Si on an amorphous SiGe; therefore the advantages suggested by applicant would have naturally flowed by Noguchi's teachings.

Applicants' argument that the prior does not teach an element capable of promoting the crystallization is introduced into a stacked layer made of germanium containing silicon is noted (pg 12) but is not found persuasive. Noguchi et al teaches germanium reduces the temperature for solid growth (Fig 2). Germanium reads on applicants' crystallization promoter.

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Canon (JP 59-129859) teaches an amorphous layer **102** composed of Ge or Ge and Si and a second layer of amorphous Si (Abstract).

Hitachi (JP 64-053408) teaches depositing an amorphous germanium layer on a silicon substrate and depositing an amorphous silicon layer thereon and crystallizing the germanium layer (Abstract).

Sanyo (JP 03-284882) teaches laminating amorphous silicon layers 41 and amorphous germanium layers on a substrate and annealing at 300-400°C to crystallize only the germanium layer and not the silicon layer which crystallizes at about 500°C (abstract).

Sexton et al (US 5,225,371) teaches a germanium layer, a polysilicon film and laser annealing to crystallize the layers (col 3-4).

Samechima et al (US 5,726,487) teaches an amorphous silicon layer on a glass substrate, an amorphous SiGe layer on the silicon layer and irradiating with a laser to crystallize the layers (col 3-4).

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew J Song whose telephone number is 571-272-1468. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine Norton can be reached on 571-272-1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Matthew J Song

Art Unit: 1765

Examiner Art Unit 1765

MJS February 3, 2005

> NAONE G. NORTON BURNINERY PATENT EXAMINER